

# Fondita Finland Micro Cap

ESG Report

Q2 / 2023

## SFDR Classification

Article 8

Light Green

## MSCI ESG Rating

**A**  
GOOD

AAA	Excellent
AA	Very Good
A	Good
BBB	Average
BB	Satisfactory
B	Weak
CCC	Very weak

## Current Responsibility scores

Overall Score	A
Environment	BBB
Social	BBB
Governance	A
Percent of portfolio covered	46 %

## Weighted Carbon intensity (Scope 1&2)

**62,4** (t CO<sub>2</sub>e/\$M Sales)

## % of portfolio with CO2 reduction targets

**19,7 %**

## The fund excludes the following industries:

Max 5% of the turnover can be derived from the excluded sector.

Controversial weapons, Weapons, Nuclear Power, Tobacco, Alcohol, Gambling, Adult entertainment, Coal, Uranium, GMO, Fossil fuels, Quick Loans, Cannabis, UN Global Compact breaches

## ESG Approach


Fondita Finland Micro Cap is an equity fund that invests in smaller Finnish-listed companies bias towards growth and quality. The investment process includes certain qualitative and quantitative sustainability factors for all holdings. The sustainability data coverage for small companies is fairly poor, which means the construction of the holdings ESG profile is to some extent derived from our own subjective assessment. The fund excludes companies with exposure to sectors we perceive as unsustainable or unethical, as well as companies that have severe UN Global Compact breaches. If issues arise regarding ESG we engage through direct dialogue with management. If issues are not properly addressed, we divest the holding.

## Portfolio alignment with UN sustainable development goals (SDG)

44,40 % of portfolio covered by data

Operational		Product	
<b>GENDER EQUALITY</b> SDG5	41 %	<b>RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION &amp; PRODUCTION</b> SDG12	10 %
<b>AFFORDABLE &amp; CLEAN ENERGY</b> SDG7	21 %	<b>ZERO HUNGER</b> SDG2	5 %
<b>DECENT WORK &amp; ECONOMIC GROWTH</b> SDG8	21 %	<b>CLEAN WATER &amp; SANITATION</b> SDG6	5 %
<b>CLIMATE ACTION</b> SDG13	21 %	<b>INDUSTRY INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE</b> SDG9	3 %

## Development of fund's responsibility scores



## Rating distribution

Rating	Amount
AAA	2%
AA	11%
A	16%
BBB	6%
BB	3%
B	0%
CCC	0%
No rating	62%

## Portfolio top 10 holdings

Rank	Company	Sector	Portfolio Weight	ESG Rating
1	UPM KYMMENE OY	Materials	4,68 %	AAA
2	PUULIO OYJ	Consumer	4,14 %	BB
3	MUSTI GROUP OYJ	Consumer	4,07 %	AA
4	TOKMANNI GROUP OYJ	Consumer	3,43 %	A
5	ADMICOM OYJ	IT	3,38 %	BB
6	HARVIA OYJ	Consumer	3,17 %	AA
7	TALENOM OYJ	Industrials	3,16 %	BBB
8	MARIMEKKO OYJ	Consumer	3,12 %	AA
9	KEMPOWER OYJ	Industrials	2,82 %	A
10	REVENIO GROUP OYJ	Health Care	2,72 %	A

## Sustainability Impact

### Revenue exposure to sustainable impact solutions

47,80 % of portfolio covered by data


<b>BASIC NEEDS</b>	<b>EMPOWERMENT</b>
0,00 %	0,00 %
<b>CLIMATE CHANGE</b>	<b>NATURAL CAPITAL</b>
3,5 %	1 %
<b>TOTAL SUSTAINABLE IMPACT</b>	
4,5 %	

Rank	Company	Portfolio Weight	Theme
1	KEMPOWER OYJ	2,8 %	Energy Efficiency
2	UPM-KYMMENE	1,1 %	Alternative Energy
3	KAMUX	0,60 %	Energy Efficiency
4	TOKMANNI	0,00 %	Nutrition


# Carbon Metrics

## Energy Initiatives

49,00 % of portfolio covered by data



## Weighted Carbon Intensity Trend of Current Holdings



## Portfolio Holdings with Highest Weighted Carbon Intensity

Rank	Company	Sector	Country	Portfolio Weight	CO <sub>2</sub> Intensity	CO <sub>2</sub> Risk Management
1	UPM-KYMMENE	Materials	Finland	4,68 %	462	Modest
2	PUULIO OYJ	Consumer	Finland	4,14 %	39	Minimal
3	KEMPOWER OYJ	Industrials	Finland	2,82 %	44	Low
4	TALENOM OYJ	Industrials	Finland	3,16 %	29	Minimal
5	MUSTI GROUP OYJ	Consumer	Finland	4,07 %	16	Modest
6	REVENIO GROUP OYJ	Health Care	Finland	2,72 %	17	Minimal
7	TOKMANNI GROUP OYJ	Consumer	Finland	3,43 %	14	Modest
8	KAMUX OYJ	Consumer	Finland	2,46 %	17	Minimal
9	HARVIA OYJ	Consumer	Finland	3,17 %	13	Low
10	ADMICOM OYJ	IT	Finland	3,38 %	8	Minimal

## SFDR-Classification

SFDR aims to increase transparency on how financial market participants integrate sustainability into their investment decisions and recommendations. It introduces a classification system with new disclosure requirements for investment products.

Dark Green (Article 9) – The fund has sustainability as their objective.

Light Green (Article 8) – The fund promotes environmental or social characteristics, or a combination.

Grey (Article 6) – Funds which do not integrate any kind of sustainability into the investment process.

## MSCI ESG Rating

MSCI assesses thousands of datapoints across 35 ESG Key Issues, focusing on the intersection between a company's core business and the industry issues that can create significant risks and opportunities for the company. Companies are rated on a AAA-CCC scale relative to the standards and performance of their peers. Issue scores and weights combine to overall ESG rating. E, S and G scores are also calculated separately.

## Carbon Reduction Targets

This number indicated the percentage of holdings that have a set carbon reduction target as opposed to holdings with no set target. The targets can naturally be very different in terms of aggressiveness. The data is based on MSCI's ESG database.

## Carbon Metrics

MSCI ESG Research collects carbon emissions data for the companies in the coverage universe. Data is collected once per year from most recent sources, including annual reports, Corporate Social Responsibility reports or websites. In addition, MSCI ESG Research uses the carbon emissions data reported through CDP (formerly the Carbon Disclosure Project) or government databases when reported data is not available through direct corporate disclosure. When companies do not disclose data, MSCI ESG Research uses proprietary methodologies to estimate emissions.

Carbon Intensity - Carbon Intensity measures the carbon efficiency of a company as total carbon emissions normalized by total sales. At a portfolio level, carbon intensity is the ratio of portfolio carbon emissions normalized by the investor's claims on sales. This method expresses portfolio carbon efficiency and allows investors to know how many emissions per dollar of sales are generated from their investment.

The Carbon Intensity data is based on Scope 1&2 emissions.

Scope 1 – All Direct Emissions from the activities of an organisation or under their control. Including fuel combustion on site such as gas boilers, fleet vehicles and air-conditioning leaks.

Scope 2 – Indirect Emissions from electricity purchased and used by the organisation. Emissions are created during the production of the energy and eventually used by the organisation.

**Sustainable Impact Solutions** – Revenue exposure to Sustainable Impact Solutions reflects the extent to which company revenue is exposed to products and services that help solve the world's major social and environmental challenges. It is calculated as a weighted average, using portfolio or index weights and each issuer's percent of revenue generated from Sustainable Impact Solutions.

## Product related and operational SDG alignment of the fund

The MSCI SDG Alignment framework provides 17 SDG Net Alignment scores and 17 SDG Net Alignment assessments (including Strongly Aligned, Aligned, Neutral, Misaligned and Strongly Misaligned) for each of the 17 global goals. In addition, the model offers assessments and scores for two dimensions, product alignment and operation alignment, for each company and for each of the 17 goals.

Signatory of:

